ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER NO. 21-01

ORDER CONTINUING JURY TRIALS

And Traffic Docket

WHEREAS, this district, the Kansas Supreme Court and the Office of the Governor have found good cause to issue various administrative and/or executive orders pertaining to safely operating during the risks posed by the spread of the COVID-19 virus; and

WHEREAS, the fairness of judicial proceedings can be adversely affected when participants are exposed to increased risk or concerns of being impacted by the virus; and

WHEREAS, jury trials and dockets that require large numbers of people to appear present particular concerns for increased risk of spread; and

WHEREAS, the judges of this district have considered the nature of the cases presently set for jury trial in January 2021 and weighed the potential risk to the participants if such proceedings were to be held, including the parties and the public;

NOW, THEREFORE, it is hereby ORDERED that, effective immediately:

- 1. Any jury trial presently scheduled to commence in this district during the month of January, 2021, is hereby continued and removed from the trial docket. The affected parties should contact the division judge to determine or request when the case will be reset for a status hearing to reschedule the matter;
- 2. All cases presently on the traffic docket, normally set on Fridays in the Pro Tem Division, are hereby continued to a date after March 1, 2021. No new case will be set sooner than

March 5, 2021. The Pro Tem Division shall provide notice of the date when the case will

be rescheduled to all parties. In setting the new date, due consideration will be given to the number of cases that exist, to include the many cases that have already been continued as the result of the previous COVID-related continuances.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT this 7^{th} day of January 2021.

amel_ James R. McCabria Chief Judge

ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER NO. 21-02

ORDER APPOINTING JUDGE PRO TEM

Pursuant to law, and due to the demands of the docket in the 7th Judicial District and for

good cause shown, Paul R. Klepper is hereby appointed as District Judge Pro Tem of the 7th

Judicial District to serve from January 1, 2021, through January 1, 2022.

This court finds that Paul R. Klepper is a regularly admitted, active status member of the

bar of the State of Kansas and is a fit and proper person to be appointed District Judge Pro Tem to

carry out the duties of this appointment.

THE COURT ORDERS that Paul R. Klepper be and he is hereby appointed District Judge

Pro Tem to serve from January 1, 2021 through January 1, 2022 for the purposes set forth above

and shall have full power and authority as District Judge Pro Tem in these matters.

This order is effective as of January 8, 2021.

James R. McCabria

mel

ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER NO. 21-03

ORDER APPOINTING JUDGE PRO TEM

Pursuant to law, and due to the demands of the docket in the 7th Judicial District and for

good cause shown, James T. George is hereby appointed as District Judge Pro Tem of the 7th

Judicial District to serve from January 1, 2021, through January 1, 2022.

This court finds that James T. George is a regularly admitted, active status member of the

bar of the State of Kansas and is a fit and proper person to be appointed District Judge Pro Tem to

carry out the duties of this appointment.

THE COURT ORDERS that James T. George be and he is hereby appointed District Judge

Pro Tem to serve from January 1, 2021 through January 1, 2022 for the purposes set forth above

and shall have full power and authority as District Judge Pro Tem in these matters.

This order is effective as of January 8, 2021.

James R. McCabria

ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER NO. 21-04

ORDER APPOINTING JUDGE PRO TEM

Pursuant to K.S.A. 2016 Supp. 20-310a (d) & (e) Branden L. Smith is hereby appointed as

judge pro-tem of the 7th Judicial District on the terms prescribed herein. This appointment

authorizes Branden L. Smith to hear original trials filed pursuant to the Protection from Abuse and

Stalking Acts and other actions within the jurisdiction of a district magistrate judge as provided by

K.S.A. 2016 Supp. 20-302b. The chief judge will assign the cases to be heard and the duties to be

performed by the judge pro-tem.

In accordance with the limitations and procedures prescribed by law, and subject to any

rules of the Supreme Court relating thereto, any appeal permitted to be taken from an order or final

decision of the judge pro tem shall be filed and determined as provided by law.

This order is effective January 1, 2021, and shall expire January 1, 2022, unless otherwise

ordered.

James R. McCabria

ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER NO. 21-07

ORDER APPOINTING JUDGE PRO TEM

Pursuant to K.S.A. 20-310a, Jennifer Roth is hereby appointed as Judge Pro-Tem in the 7th Judicial District. This appointment authorizes Jennifer Roth to perform the marriage ceremony for Edward Louis Kramer and Carol Elizabeth Whalen on the 30th day of January, 2021 and authorizes no other judicial authority.

This appointment is made in accordance with the limitations and procedures prescribed by law, and subject to any rules of the Kansas Supreme Court relation hereto.

This order is made and is effective this 26th day of January, 2021.

ames R. McCabria

ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER NO. 21-08

ORDER CONTINUING CERTAIN JURY TRIALS

WHEREAS, this district, the Kansas Supreme Court and the Office of the Governor have

found good cause to issue various administrative and/or executive orders pertaining to safely

operating during the risks posed by the spread of the COVID-19 virus; and

WHEREAS, the fairness of judicial proceedings can be adversely affected when

participants are exposed to increased risk or concerns of being impacted by the virus; and

WHEREAS, jury trials and dockets that require large numbers of people to appear present

particular concerns for increased risk of spread; and

WHEREAS, the judges of this district have considered the nature of the cases presently set

for jury trial in February 2021 and weighed the potential risk to the participants if such proceedings

were to be held, including the parties and the public;

NOW, THEREFORE, it is hereby ORDERED that, effective immediately:

1. Any jury trial presently scheduled to commence in this district during the month of

February, 2021, for any defendant who is not in custody is hereby continued and removed

from the trial docket. The affected parties should contact the division judge to determine

or request when the case will be reset for a status hearing to reschedule the matter;

2. This order does not affect any other February, 2021, jury trial presently scheduled. Each

division judge that has any in-custody jury trial on the February 2021 docket is free to

determine whether that case should proceed based on any considerations that judge

determines appropriate to the particulars of that case.

This order is made and is effective this 27th day of January, 2021.

James R. McCabria

w2/

ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER NO. 21-11

ORDER CONTINUING CERTAIN JURY TRIALS

WHEREAS, this district, the Kansas Supreme Court and the Office of the Governor have found good cause to issue various administrative and/or executive orders pertaining to safely operating during the risks posed by the spread of the COVID-19 virus; and

WHEREAS, the fairness of judicial proceedings can be adversely affected when participants are exposed to increased risk or concerns of being impacted by the virus; and

WHEREAS, jury trials and dockets that require large numbers of people to appear present particular concerns for increased risk of spread; and

WHEREAS, the judges of this district have considered the nature of the cases presently set for jury trial in March 2021 and weighed the potential risk to the participants if such proceedings were to be held, including the parties and the public;

NOW, THEREFORE, it is hereby ORDERED that, effective immediately:

- 3. Any jury trial presently scheduled to commence in this district during the month of March, 2021, for any defendant who is not in custody is hereby continued and removed from the trial docket. The affected parties should contact the division judge to determine or request when the case will be reset for a status hearing to reschedule the matter;
- 4. This order does not affect any other March, 2021, jury trial presently scheduled. Each division judge that has any in-custody jury trial on the March 2021 docket is free to determine whether that case should proceed based on any considerations that judge determines appropriate to the particulars of that case.

This order is made and is effective this 25th day of February, 2021.

James R, McCabria

ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER NO. 21-12

Bradley Hook is hereby added to the panel of attorneys eligible for appointment to nonfelony cases and felony cases.

This order is made and is effective this 8th day of March 2021.

ames R. McCabria Chief Judge

ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER NO. 21-13

ORDER RESUMING "IN-PERSON"

PFA/PFS DOCKETS

WHEREAS, this district, the Kansas Supreme Court and the Office of the Governor have

found good cause to issue various administrative and/or executive orders pertaining to safely

operating during the risks posed by the spread of the COVID-19 virus; and

WHEREAS, Local Administrative Order 20-39 suspended in-person first appearances for

cases involving actions filed pursuant to K.S.A. 60-3101 (Protection from Abuse Act) and K.S.A.

60-31a01 (Protection from Stalking, Sexual Assault or Human Trafficking Act) until further order;

and

WHEREAS, a review of current, local COVID-19 transmission trends, vaccination rates

and the continued requirement for use of masks and social distancing remain in place at the judicial

center;

NOW, THEREFORE, it is hereby ORDERED that, starting with the docket scheduled for

April 5, 2021, and continuing thereafter, appearances on all such cases will be in-person at the

designated PFA courtroom.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT this 23rd day of March 2021.

James R. McCabria

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF DOUGLAS COUNTY, KANSAS ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER NO. 21-13-A

ORDER RESUMING "IN-PERSON"

PFA/PFS DOCKETS

WHEREAS, this Court entered Administrative Order 20-13 to address inperson dockets for the PFA/PFS matters starting in April, 2021, but incorrectly identified the first date in April in that order as April 5, 2021, and the correct date is April 2, 2021;

NOW, THEREFORE, it is hereby ORDERED that, starting with the docket scheduled for April 2, 2021, and continuing thereafter, appearances on all such cases will be in-person at the designated PFA courtroom.

In all other respects, AO 21-13 is hereby affirmed and remains in effect.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT this 24th day of March 2021.

well

James R. McCabria

ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER NO. 21-14

ORDER CONTINUING

Traffic Docket

WHEREAS, this district, the Kansas Supreme Court and the Office of the Governor have

found good cause to issue various administrative and/or executive orders pertaining to safely

operating during the risks posed by the spread of the COVID-19 virus; and

WHEREAS, the court continues to weigh and consider requests from the State of how to

proceed safely with resuming the in-person appearances for the traffic docket and finds that

continuing any case presently set prior to May 7, 2021 is warranted based on representations of

the State as to steps they have taken and continue to take to resolve pending cases without a docket;

NOW, THEREFORE, it is hereby ORDERED that, effective immediately:

All cases presently on the traffic docket prior to May 7, 2021, are hereby continued. The Pro

Tem Division shall provide notice of the date when any case continued by this Order will be

rescheduled to all parties. In setting the new date, due consideration will be given to the number

of cases that exist, to include the many cases that have already been continued as the result of the

previous COVID-related continuances.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT this 23rd day of March, 2021.

James R. McCabria

ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER NO. 21-15

Richard Frydman is hereby added to the panel of attorneys eligible for appointment to nonfelony cases.

This order is made and is effective this 8^{th} day of April 2021.

James R. McCabria Chief Judge

2020 NON-FELONY PANELEMAILS (revised 4/8/2021)

Bates, Sean	(720) 252-6003	sean @csbatesllc.com
Butler, Julia	691-9128	julia.v.butler @gmail.com
Carlson, Juanita (d/r)	749-5986	jcarlson @1040nh.com
Chahine, Hatem	979-1850	hatem @kansasmissourilaw.com
Clary, Brenda	691-7879	bclary @sunflower.com
Corrigan, Mike	764-5211	nagirro62 @gmail.com
Crawford, Phil	913-909-6034	phil @philcrawfordlaw.com
Crews, Napoleon	856-5562	ncrews @crewslawfirm.net
David, Michelle	380-7922	michelle @thedavidlawoffice.com
Downing, Shaye	842-6311	sdowning @sloanlawfirm.com
Falls, Joseph	424-5147	fallslawoffice @gmail.com
Fredric, Matthew	331-0300	mfredrick @faganemert.com
Frydman, Richard	843-4023	richardfrydman @gmail.com
Gilroy, J.C.	856-2755	jcgilroy @1040nh.com
Glover, Blake	594-1099	blake @ blakegloverlaw.com
Griffy, Skip (h)	842-0040	sg328 @aol.com
Hall, Adam	841-4554	adam.hall @333legal.com
Harrod, Travis	766-5504	travis @harrodlawfirm.net
Hook, Bradley	913-717-2100	firm @hook.law
Kincaid, Jeffrey	380-7748	jeff @bisonlegal.com
Loomis, Dakota	979-6345	dakotaloomis @gmail.com
Lowry, Scott*	221-4021	sdlowry62 @gmail.com
Lungstrum, Richard (h)	760-5447	rwl @carrollsweb.com
Luttrell, Josh	289-9516	josh @thedavidlawoffice.com
Overstreet, Cooper	380-7948	cooper @thedavidlawoffice.com
Smith, Branden	856-0780	Branden @SmithLegalLLC.com
Stancliffe, Craig	842-6432	cstancliffe @sunflower.com
Tubbs, Michael	856-2081	mtubbs @tubbslaw.com
Wells, Jerry	856-3925	jerrywells @sunflower.com
Whitman, Charles	843-4353	whitlegal @sunflower.com

(d/r) – diversion & prob. revocations only (h) – hiatus * - only Friday morning traffic cases

2021 NON-FELONY PANEL (revised 4/8/2021)

Bates, Sean	(720) 252-6003
Butler, Julia	691-9128
Carlson, Juanita (d/r)	749-5986
Chahine, Hatem	979-1850
Clary, Brenda	691-7879
Corrigan, Mike	764-5211
Crawford, Phil	913-909-6034
,	856-5562
Crews, Napoleon	380-7922
David, Michelle	
Downing, Shaye	842-6311
Falls, Joseph	424-5147
Fredric, Matthew	331-0300
Frydman, Richard	843-4023
Gilroy, J.C.	856-2755
Glover, Blake	594-1099
Griffy, Skip <i>(h)</i>	842-0040
Hall, Adam	841-4554
Harrod, Travis	766-5504
Hook, Bradley	913-717-2100
Kincaid, Jeffrey	380-7748
Loomis, Dakota	979-6345
Lowry, Scott*	221-4021
Lungstrum, Richard (h)	760-5447
Luttrell, Josh	289-9516
Overstreet, Cooper	380-7948
Smith, Branden	856-0780
Stancliffe, Craig	842-6432
Tubbs, Michael	856-2081
Wells, Jerry	856-3925
Whitman, Charles	843-4353

(d/r) – diversion & prob. revocations only (h) – hiatus * - only Friday morning traffic cases

ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER 21-16

Public and Media Access

Administrative Order 20-13 addresses who may enter the courtrooms and/or adjacent hallways as it relates to parties, their attorneys/assistants and witnesses. Administrative Order 20-16 addresses permission to enter courtrooms and/or adjacent hallways for members of the public and the media. This Order leaves AO 20-13 in place. AO 20-16 is hereby replaced by the terms of this Order:

- 1) This Court recognizes that the Public and Press have a qualified First Amendment right of access to court proceedings. The Court also takes seriously its responsibility to administer the law with due regard for the balance of the rights of litigants, the public and the Court's responsibility to protect the integrity and safety of the proceedings.
- 2) Considering current public health risks due to COVID-19, ongoing vaccination schedules and with the continuing goal of tailoring restrictions in the most narrow way to protect the balance of such interests, members of the media and public shall be permitted access to the courtrooms and adjacent hallways for the purpose of attending any hearing, civil or criminal, in accordance with the terms of this Administrative Order.
- 3) All persons seeking entry to courtrooms or adjacent hallways shall:
 - a. be subject to such health/safety screening as may be implemented by the Sheriff;
 - b. Conform to all masking, social distance or other safety measures as may be required
 by the district court, or Supreme Court order;

c. When the courtroom where any hearing occurs reaches a capacity where social distancing cannot be maintained, further entry into the hearing by the public will not be permitted.

d. The Sheriff's Office Court Security personnel are authorized to monitor social distancing in any courtroom, adjacent hallways and/or any other common areas and may limit entry based on whether appropriate space exists to permit any required social distancing. If any person is denied entry based on social distancing decisions by Court Security, any district judge may be notified to resolve that objection in such manner as to that judge seems appropriate.

This order is made and is effective this 27th day of April, 2021.

James R. McCabria Chief Judge

ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER 21-16a

Public and Media Access

Administrative Order 20-13 addresses who may enter the courtrooms and/or adjacent hallways as it relates to parties, their attorneys/assistants and witnesses. Administrative Order 20-16 addresses permission to enter courtrooms and/or adjacent hallways for members of the public and the media. Administrative Order 20-13 is hereby set aside. AO 20-16 is hereby replaced by the terms of this Order. This Order replaces and supercedes AO 21-16 by addressing and permitting the admission of non-litigants into courtrooms as permitted hereunder:

- 4) This Court recognizes that the Public and Press have a qualified First Amendment right of access to court proceedings. The Court also takes seriously its responsibility to administer the law with due regard for the balance of the rights of litigants, the public and the Court's responsibility to protect the integrity and safety of the proceedings.
- 5) Considering current public health risks due to COVID-19, ongoing vaccination schedules and with the continuing goal of tailoring restrictions in the most narrow way to protect the balance of such interests, members of the media and public shall be permitted access to the courtrooms and adjacent hallways for the purpose of attending any public hearing, civil or criminal, in accordance with the terms of this Administrative Order.
- 6) All persons seeking entry to courtrooms or adjacent hallways shall:
 - a. be subject to such health/safety screening as may be implemented by the Sheriff;
 - b. Conform to all masking, social distance or other safety measures as may be required
 by the district court, or Supreme Court order;

c. When the courtroom where any hearing occurs reaches a capacity where social distancing cannot be maintained, further entry into the hearing by the public will

not be permitted.

d. The Sheriff's Office Court Security personnel are authorized to monitor social

distancing in any courtroom, adjacent hallways and/or any other common areas and

may limit entry based on whether appropriate space exists to permit any required

social distancing. If any person is denied entry based on social distancing decisions

by Court Security, any district judge may be notified to resolve that objection in

such manner as to that judge seems appropriate;

e. Any person permitted to enter under this order may not do so sooner than one hour

prior to the time the matter for which their case is scheduled and may not remain

for more than 15 minutes after their hearing is concluded, or the testimony for

which they were subpoenaed to appear has been completed.

This order is made and is effective this 11th day of May, 2021.

James R. McCabria

ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER NO. 21-17 Minimum Standard Health Protocols

WHEREAS, this District Court has operated under several local Administrative Orders and transition plans since March 2020 to address the COVID-19 pandemic.

During this same time, the Kansas Supreme Court has also issued Administrative Orders directing operations for the district courts of this State.

On May 24, 2021, the Kansas Supreme Court issued Administrative Order 2021PR-048 ("21-PR-48") requiring all district and appellate courts in Kansas to develop and follow their own minimum standard health protocols to avoid exposing court users, staff and judicial officers to COVID-19. That order directs each district court to implement COVID-19 screening and communication protocols which continue to utilize appropriate precautionary measures.

Such protocols must include directives for determination of the need and usage of physical distancing and masking deemed necessary based on local health department guidelines.

On May 13, 2021, the United States Center for Disease Control (CDC) adopted the following recommendation:

"... fully vaccinated people no longer need to wear a mask or physically distance in any setting, except where required by federal, state, local, tribal, or territorial laws, rules and regulations, including local business and workplace guidance."

On May 15, 2021 the Kansas Department of Health and Environment (KDHE) adopted essentially the same guideline.

Lawrence-Douglas County Health Department has approved the Court adopting protocols that are consistent with current CDC and KDHE recommendations as minimum standards. The Court recognizes such standards continue to evolve.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consultation with the Lawrence-Douglas County Health Department, together with the Court's own consideration for local and national guidance from public health and welfare experts, and with consideration for the public confidence in the courts and integrity of trial by jury, a cornerstone of our justice system, effective this date, this District adopts the following procedures:

A) Screening

The Court will continue COVID-19 screening for members of the public, judicial branch employees, and judicial officers by using "Visitor Alert" signage throughout court areas of the Judicial Center. Staff and individuals entering the Judicial Center will be asked to review the signage on their own and proceed accordingly. The current signage will include the following information:

If you **answer yes** to question 1 or 2, you **must not enter** court offices or court proceedings.

- 1. In the past 48 hours, have you had a fever at or over 100.4°F?
- 2. In the past 48 hours, have you had two or more COVID-19 symptoms from the CDC list? (https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/symptoms-testing/symptoms.html)

If you **answer yes** to question 3 or 4, you **must not enter** court offices or court proceedings unless you are fully vaccinated and you have had no signs of illness since traveling or having contact with someone who may have COVID—19.

- 3. In the last 14 days, have you been in close contact with someone who has or might have COVID~19?
 - 4. In the last 14 days, have you traveled:
 - Anywhere on the quarantine list from the Kansas Department of

Health and Environment? (https://www.coronavirus.kdheksgov)

• To an international location with a COVID-19 risk level of 3 or 4 from the Center for Disease Control and Prevention map? (https://www.cdc.coronavirus/2019-ncov/travelers/map-andtravel-notices.html)

Persons who are prohibited from entering based on the above questions will be provided the email and phone number for the District Court Clerk to assist them with conducting their business or to obtain information.

B) Physical Distancing

Physical distancing (six-feet apart) is required in all courtrooms, court offices or adjacent hallways among persons who do not share the same household. Physical distancing may be waived for any given hearing when the presiding judge determines it appropriate and safe. Such decisions may include waiving (in whole or in part) physical distancing for some or all participants / attendees.

C) Face Coverings

All persons entering a courtroom, court office or adjacent hallway must wear face coverings, unless excused from doing so due to a medical condition. Such excusals can be heard and determined by any judge of this Court. Face coverings may be waived for any given hearing when the presiding judge determines it appropriate and safe, or constitutionally required. Such decisions may include waiving (in whole or in part) face coverings for some or all participants/ attendees.

District Court employees are not required to wear face coverings while at their workstation. Face-coverings and physical distancing are required when having inperson contact with the public. In all other situations, employees are encouraged to both physically distance and wear face-coverings when in close proximity to each other.

In any in-person contact situation (including those with members of the public), where social distance can be maintained and all persons involved in the contact consent, one or all participants may remove their face covering.

D) Voluntary Face Covering and Physical Distancing

All persons should feel free to utilize a face covering or maintain social distance as to them seems most appropriate. District Court employees should be respectful of such decisions both as to the public and to co-workers. This rule is subordinate to any due process rights that attach to any judicial proceeding within this District.

E) Remote Proceedings

The Court will continue to make active use of remote proceedings. The Court will make reasonable effort to accommodate any participant in a proceeding who prefers participation by remote means.

F) Review of Protocols and Special Considerations

The Court realizes that, to some degree, these protocols are more restrictive than the minimum standards recommended by some local, state and national health experts or agencies for non-court proceedings or situations. This Court considers that the special consideration of "Equal Justice Under Law" is a foundational concept unique in its application to court proceedings. Asking people to conduct themselves differently based on vaccination status has the potential to impact the fair, unbiased and equal application of procedural due process that all citizens are entitled to receive within this Judicial

District. As such, the Court will continue to monitor the impact of recent changes to local health and safety protocols within the community and, as more information becomes available to inform whether changing these protocols is indicated, the public and the court staff should expect such changes to occur.

If any previous local administrative order conflicts with the provisions of this Order, this Order controls.

This order is made and is effective this 2nd day of June, 2021.

ames R. McCabria

ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER NO. 21-18

Order Appointing Attorneys to Receive Criminal Appointments

The attorneys identified in Appendix 1 (Felony Panel) and Appendix 2 (Non-Felony Panel) are the attorneys who are qualified and approved to receive judicial appointments in this District to represent indigent criminal defendants for the period June 1, 2021 to January 1, 2022. This order supersedes any prior order on this same topic nor does it affect the order of appointment in any given case.

This order is made and is effective this 2nd day of June, 2021.

ames R. McCabria

2021 FELONY PANEL (revised 6/1/2021)

Butler, Julia	691-9128
Chahine, Hatem	979-1850
Clarke, Michael	832-2181
Cline, Carol	542-0597
Crawford, Phil	913-909-6034
Crews, Napoleon	856-5562
David, Michelle	380-7922
David, Nicholas	380-7703
Desch, Joseph	232-7003
Downing, Shaye	842-6311
Falls, Joseph	424-5147
Gilroy, J.C.	856-2755
Griffy, Skip (h)	842-0040
Hall, Adam	841-4554
Hook, Bradley	913-717-2100
Keck, Angela R.	913-782-9720
Kunen, Jessica	766-8330
Loomis, Dakota	979-6345
Lungstrum, Richard (h)	760-5447
Luttrell, Josh	289-9516
Overstreet, Cooper	380-7948
Smith, Branden	856-0780
Wells, Jerry	856-3925
Whitman, Charles	843-9460

(h) – hiatus Appendix 1

2021 NON-FELONY PANEL (revised 6/1/2021)

Bates, Sean	720-252-6003
Butler, Julia	691-9128
Chahine, Hatem	979-1850
Clary, Brenda	691-7879
Corrigan, Mike	764-5211
Crawford, Phil	913-909-6034
Crews, Napoleon	856-5562
David, Michelle	380-7922
Downing, Shaye	842-6311
Falls, Joseph	424-5147
Fredrick, Matthew	331-0300
Frydman, Richard	843-4023
Gilroy, J.C.	856-2755
Glover, Blake	594-1099
Griffy, Skip (h)	842-0040
Hall, Adam	841-4554
Harrod, Travis	766-5504
Hook, Bradley	913-717-2100
Kincaid, Jeff	380-7748
Kunen, Jessica	766-8330
Loomis, Dakota	979-6345
Lowry, Scott*	221-4021
Lungstrum, Richard (h)	760-5447
Luttrell, Josh	289-9516
Overstreet, Cooper	380-7948
Smith, Branden	856-0780
Stancliffe, Craig	842-6432
Tubbs, Michael	856-2081
Wells, Jerry	856-3925
Whitman, Charles	843-9460

⁽h) – hiatus* - only Friday morning traffic cases Appendix 2

ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER NO. 21-19

ORDER APPOINTING JUDGE PRO TEM

Pursuant to K.S.A. 20-310a, Peggy Kittel is hereby appointed as Judge Pro-Tem in the 7th Judicial District. This appointment authorizes Peggy Kittel to perform the marriage ceremony for Frank Edwin Marshall and Cathleen Sue Curless on the 1st day of July, 2021 and authorizes no other judicial authority.

This appointment is made in accordance with the limitations and procedures prescribed by law, and subject to any rules of the Kansas Supreme Court relation hereto.

This order is made and is effective this 24th day of June, 2021.

ames R. McCabria

ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER NO. 21-20 Amending Minimum Standard Health Protocols And the Impact on Jury Trials

WHEREAS, this District Court has operated under several local Administrative Orders and transition plans since March 2020 to address the COVID-19 pandemic. During this same time, the Kansas Supreme Court has also issued Administrative Orders directing operations for the district courts of this State.

On May 24, 2021, the Kansas Supreme Court issued Administrative Order 2021PR-048 ("21-PR-48") requiring all district and appellate courts in Kansas to develop and follow their own minimum standard health protocols to avoid exposing court users, staff and judicial officers to COVID-19. That order directs each district court to implement COVID-19 screening and communication protocols which continue to utilize appropriate precautionary measures.

On June 2, 2021, this District adopted protocols (Administrative Order 21-17) that include physical distancing of six-feet within all courtrooms. Since that time, after ongoing consultation with the local health department, the Court has determined that COVID-19 concerns can be effectively addressed by requiring *either* face coverings or physical distancing of six feet, but that both are not required. In all other respects, Administrative Order 21-17 remains in effect at this time.

Impact on Jury Trials. The requirement of face coverings and physical distancing of six-feet prevented the safe conduct of 12 person jury trials at the Judicial Center. With the removal of that condition, each judge has the discretion to determine whether to conduct all or any portion of any jury trial in their courtroom or the temporary alternate location at the fairgrounds as determined by the needs of any particular case.

The Court will continue to consult with the local health department regarding these protocols and amend as circumstances may warrant.

This order is made and is effective this 2nd day of July, 2021.

James R. McCabria Chief Judge

ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER NO. 21-21

Order Pertaining to Appointment of Attorneys to Represent Juveniles

Attached hereto as Appendix 1 (Juvenile Offender Panel), Appendix 2 (Limited Juvenile Offender Panel – Level 1-3) and Appendix 3 (CINC Panel) are the attorneys currently approved by the judges of the Seventh Judicial District as qualified to be appointed as legal counsel for the panels to which they have been assigned from the date of this order and ending January 1, 2022. During this period, counsel shall represent parties when appointed by the court in matters arising under the Revised Kansas Code for Care of Children (K.S.A. 38-2201 *et seq.* and amendments thereto), and the Revised Kansas Juvenile Justice Code (K.S.A. 38-2301 *et seq.*, and amendments thereto).

All terms of payment for such appointments shall be effective as of the date of the appointment.

This order is made and is effective this 13th day of August, 2021.

Ames R. McCabria

Appendix 1A (Juvenile Offender)

Teresa Barr Brenda Clary Kansas Legal Services Danielle Packer Craig Stancliffe Douglas County Legal Aid Dakota Loomis

Appendix 2A (Limited Juvenile Offender—Level 1-3)

Hatem Chahine Branden Smith Dakota Loomis John Philip Crawford

Appendix 3A (CINC)

Teresa Barr Samantha Harrington Kansas Legal Services Danielle Packer Craig Stancliffe Danielle Davey

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF DOUGLAS COUNTY, KANSAS ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER NO. 21-22

ORDER APPOINTING ACTING CHIEF JUDGE

Pursuant to K.S.A. 2017 Supp. 20-329, in the absence of Chief Judge James McCabria, Kay Huff is hereby appointed as acting chief district judge of the 7th Judicial District to serve on September 3 through September 14, 2021.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 2nd day of September, 2021.

ames R. McCabria Chief Judge

ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER NO. 21-23

7TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT 2022 HOLIDAYS

The following dates have been approved as the holidays for calendar year 2022:

New Year's Day Friday, December 31, 2021 Martin Luther King Day Monday, January 17, 2022 Presidents Day Monday, February 21, 2022 Memorial Day Monday, May 30, 2022 Independence Day Monday, July 4, 2022 Labor Day Monday, September 5, 2022 Columbus Day/Indigenous Peoples' Day Monday, October 10, 2022 Veterans Day Friday, November 11, 2022

> Thursday, November 24, 2022 Friday, November 25, 2022

Christmas Day Monday, December 26, 2022

Observance of these holidays by the district court may be deferred whenever observance of the holiday would interfere with judicial proceedings in progress.

BY THE ORDER OF THE COURT this 29th day of September 2021.

Thanksgiving Day

James R. McCabria Chief District Judge

ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER NO. 21-24

Appointed Attorney Fees In Certain Cases

WHEREAS, the appointment of counsel for persons who are unable to afford counsel is an important right for persons charged with criminal conduct as adults or juveniles or those persons facing allegations for involuntary commitment, and;

WHEREAS this district has an approved panel of attorneys willing to accept appointments to provide legal counsel to such persons, and;

WHEREAS the Kansas Legislature recently authorized an hourly fee of \$100 per hour for appointed counsel in felony matters through the Board of Indigent Defense Services,

NOW, THERERFORE, this Court enters the following Order:

- 1) Effective September 1, 2021, for any appointment of counsel for any adult nonfelony or any juvenile case on or after September 1, 2021, including appeals, all approved billable hours will be compensated at the rate of \$100 per hour. For any case appointment prior to that date, approved billable hours will be compensated at the previous (\$80/hour) rate.
- 2) The non-felony caps that require the submission of a request to approve exceptional billing are increased from \$1,200 to \$1,500 for non-tried cases and from \$2,000 to \$2,500 for tried cases. For indirect contempt, care & treatment, sentence modification and probation/parole violations, the caps are increased from \$500 to \$625.00. If the appointment pre-dated September 1, 2021, then all hourly rates/caps apply as they did at the time of the appointment.

This order is made and is effective this 30th day of September, 2021.

ames R. McCabria

mel-

ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER NO. 21-25

ORDER AUTHORIZING CITIZEN REVIEW BOARD APPLICANTS TO ACCESS

OFFICIAL AND SOCIAL FILES OF CHILD IN NEED OF CARE CASES

Pursuant to K.S.A. 38-2211 (a)(9) and (b)(9), Citizen Review Board applicants, as defined by Douglas County CRB Administrative Rules, and as limited by the Standards for the Kansas Citizen Review Board(s), are hereby authorized to access the official and social files of Child in Need of Care and/or CRB proceedings as deemed necessary to complete their training. This order should be construed to limit the applicants access to those files associated with the proceedings which they are attending as part of training. No Citizen Review Board applicant shall be authorized to copy, scan, or in any way duplicate, retain or transmit any documents or information they may receive as a result of this Order

Pursuant to K.S.A. 38-2209 (1)(b), Citizen Review Board applicants are subject to Contempt of Court sanctions including a civil penalty of up to \$1,000 for any person or entity that violates this section. Violation of the provisions of this order may constitute a class A nonperson misdemeanor.

This order is made and is effective this 5th day of October, 2021.

ames R. McCabria

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF DOUGLAS COUNTY, KANSAS ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER NO. 21-26

ORDER APPOINTING ACTING CHIEF JUDGE

Pursuant to K.S.A. 2017 Supp. 20~329, in the absence of Chief Judge James McCabria, Kay Huff is hereby appointed as acting chief district judge of the 7th Judicial District. This appointment is effective from Noon on October 14 through Noon on October 17, 2021.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 14th day of October, 2021.

James R. McCabria

ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER NO. 21-27

Dakota Loomis is hereby removed from the panel of attorneys eligible for regular appointment to juvenile offender cases. The Court, on a case by case basis, may appoint Mr. Loomis on such terms as the Court deems appropriate in any given case.

This order is made and is effective this 7th day of December, 2021.

Jámes R. McCabria